Combining Ability Analysis for Fruit Yield and its Component Characters in Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

A.Y. Makani¹, P.C. Patel², A.L. Patel³, P.B. Dave² and M.M. Bhatt⁴

¹Tribal Research cum Training Centre, Anand Agricultural University, Devgadh Baria, India.
 ²Regional Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, India.
 ³Aspee College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, India.
 ⁴Department of Botany, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, India.

(Received: 02 December 2015; accepted: 14 January 2016)

A study was carried out in half diallel fashion with eight parents in brinjal. Parents were evaluated for estimation of gca and sca effects and variances for yield and yield contributing characters. The general and specific combining ability variances were significant for all the traits. The σ^2 gca and σ^2 sca ratio indicated that non-additive gene action was predominant for the inheritance of all the traits except fruit girth for which additive gene action was more important. The estimates of general combining ability effects suggested that parents GBL-1 and KS-331 were good general combining ability effects suggested that parent GBL-1 was good general combiners for fruit yield per plant and its related attributes. The estimates of general combining ability effects suggested that parent GBL-1 was good general combiners for dry matter and total soluble sugars. The estimates of specific combining ability effects indicated that cross combinations viz., Doli-5 x GBL-1, AB-07-08 x GP-180 and AB-07-08 x KS-331 were observed to be most promising for fruit yield and some of its related traits. These crosses need to be exploited and also one can explore the possibility of isolating transgressive segregants from the segregating generations of these crosses.

Keywords: Brinjal, diallel, general combining ability and specific combining ability.

The present investigation had been undertaken to know the type of gene action governing yield and yield contributing traits and to identify the parent and crosses, which could be exploited for future breeding programme. Significant and positive sca effects for fruit yield and its component traits have also been reported by Chaudhary and Pathania (2000); Rao (2003); Singh and Singh (2004); Vadodaria *et al.* (2008) and Shanmugapriya *et al.* (2009). The present investigation had been undertaken to know the

* To whom all correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +91-94265 25204 E-mail: aniketmakani@yahoo.com type of gene action governing yield and yield contributing traits and to identify the parent and crosses, which could be exploited for future breeding programme.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight parents of brinjal viz., NDB-18, PPL-1, Pusa Uttam, Doli-5, AB-07-08, GBL-1, GP-180 and KS-331 and their complete set of 36 entries comprising 8 parents and their 28 F_1 s were planted for evaluation in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. at Research Farm of Main Vegetable Research Station, AAU, Anand, Gujarat (India) during *kharif-rabi* 2011-12. Each plot consisted of a single row of 10 plants. Inter and intra row spacing was kept 90 and 60 cm, respectively. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each treatment and replication for twelve characters *viz*; days to 50% flowering, days to first picking, fruit length, fruit girth, average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, plant height, fruit yield per plant, fruit volume, dry matter, total phenol and total soluble sugar (TSS). The general and specific combining ability effects of the parents were assessed by diallel analysis. To understand the real picture of genetic architecture of the hybrids and their parents, the data obtained for parents and hybrids were analyzed by Model-I, Method-II proposed by Griffing (1956).

516

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for combining ability indicated that the mean squares due to general combining ability and specific combining ability were significant (Table 1). The variance due to sca was higher than that of due to gca for all the characters indicating the predominant role of nonadditive gene action except for fruit girth for which additive gene effect was more important. This is in agreement with the studies of Chaudhary and Pathania (2000), Chaudhary and Malhotra (2000), Rao (2003), Singh and Singh (2004), Vadodaria *et al.* (2008), Shanmugapriya *et al.* (2009).

The presence of predominantly large amount of non-additive gene action observed for various yield attributing characters would necessitate the maintenance of heterozygosity in the population. Breeding methods such as biparental mating followed by reciprocal recurrent selection may increase frequency of genetic recombination and hasten the rate of genetic improvement (Hanson, 1960).

The predominance of non-additive gene action for fruit yield per plant as observed in present study has already been reported by many researchers, *viz.*, Chaudhary and Pathania (2000), Chaudhary and Malhotra (2000), Rao (2003), Singh and Singh (2004), Vadodaria *et al.* (2008), Shanmugapriya *et al.* (2009).

The importance of non-additive genetic variance in the inheritance of days to 50% flowering was also reported by Chaudhary and Pathania (2000), Baig and Patil (2002), Vadodaria *et al.* (2005)

and Shanmugapriya et al. (2009). The preponderance of non-additive gene effects was also reported for days to first picking (Sawant et al., 1991; Chaudhary and Pathania, 2000 and Patel, 2003), fruit length (Chaudhary and Pathania, 2000; Rao, 2003 and Shanmugapriya et al. 2009), average fruit weight (Kaur, 1998; Rao, 2003 and Shanmugapriya et al. 2009), number of fruits per plant (Kaur, 1998; Chaudhary and Pathania, 2000; Rao, 2003; Vadodaria et al., 2005), plant height (Chaudhary and Pathania, 2000; Patel, 2003; Singh and Singh, 2004 and Shanmugapriya et al. 2009) and yield per plant (Chaudhary and Pathania, 2000; Rao, 2003; Singh and Singh, 2004; Vadodaria et al. 2008; Shanmugapriya et al. 2009). While additive genetic variance in the inheritance of fruit girth was reported by (Das and Barua, 2001; Singh and Singh, 2004; Aswani and Khandelwal 2005 and Bisht et al., 2006).

Nature and magnitude of combining ability effects help in identifying superior parents and their utilization in further breeding programme. Looking to the estimates of gca effect for different characters, an overall appraisal of gca effects revealed that the genotype GBL-1 was found to be a good general combiner for all the yield attributing characters except average fruit weight, plant height and total phenols. The parent KS-331 was a good general combiner for days to flowering, days to first picking, fruit girth, average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant and fruit volume. Therefore, above parents can be considered as a good source of favourable genes for increasing fruit yield along with other yield attributes. It is evident from these results that high gca effects for fruit yield per plant in the varieties GBL-1 and KS-331 were mainly due to important yield contributing characters mentioned above (Table 2). Therefore, it would be worthwhile to use the above parental lines (GBL-1 and KS-331) in the hybridization programme for improvement of brinjal.

For quality components, parents GBL-1 and Doli-5 were found to be good general combiners for dry matter and total soluble sugars. AB-07-08 was found to be a good general combiner for dry matter and total phenols. The estimates of gca effects further revealed that the parental lines showing high gca effects for fruit yield also exhibited high to average gca effects for one or

	d.I.	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first picking	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant	Plant height (cm)	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Fruit volume (cc)	Dry matter (mg/100 mg)	Total phenols (mg/100 mg)	Total soluble sugars (mg/100 mg)
GCA SCA Error s²sca s²sca s²sca s²sca	7 28 70 1.79 13.84 a 0.13	19.36** 15.32** 1.48 7.20 50.41 0.14	82.98** 61.40** 10.99 0.57 1.25 0.46	6.08** 1.60** 0.35 0.95 0.83 1.14	9.62** 0.98** 0.15 287.71 373.87 0.77	2887.77** 384.58** 10.71 74.02 340.76 0.22	764.05** 364.33** 23.82 32.33 32.33 51.5 0.63	 328.46** 56.69** 5.19 0.77 1.69 0.45 	* 7.76** 1.77** 0.082 3009.84 14369.03 0.21	31503.81** 15774.44** 1405.41 0.048 0.214 0.23	0.50** 0.23** 0.016 0.00084 0.00087	0.00087** 0.0010** 0.000035 0.00048 0.0048 0.0074	0.0049** 0.0075** 0.00096
*,** signi	ficant at	*,** significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Table 2. Estimates of	levels, res _I able 2. Est	pectively. imates of ge	neral combi	ining ability	(gca) effect	s of parents 1	for various	5 levels, respectively. Table 2. Estimates of general combining ability (gca) effects of parents for various characters in brinjal	brinjal		
Parents		Days to 50% flowering	Days to first picking	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Average fruit weight I (g)	Number of fruits per plant	Plant height (cm) p	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Fruit volume (cc) (i	Dry Tc matter phe (mg/100 (mg mg) n	TotalTotalphenolssoluble(mg/100sugarsmg)(mg/100 mg)	ul ile rs) mg)
NDB-18 PPL-1 Pusa Uttam Doli-5 AB-07-08 GBL-1 GP-180 KS-331 S. E. ± S. E. ±	08 ttam	0.52 0.89* 0.46 0.22 -0.21 -2.61** 1.96** -1.24** 0.359	0.52 0.18 0.3 0.89* 1.84 0.62 0.46 1.88 -0.6 0.22 1.34 -0.6 -0.21 0.48 -0.8 -0.21 0.48 -0.8 -2.61** -5.12** 0.76 1.96** 3.08** 1.11 -1.24** -3.66** -0.6 0.359 0.98 0.1		-1.79** -1.00** 0.14 0.18 0.18 0.10 0.26* 0.74** 0.74** 0.113	0 -1.79** -20.21** 1.96 ** -1.00** -14.69** -7.19* ** 0.14 -6.16** 1.29 ** 0.14 -6.16** 1.29 ** 0.18 -0.21 -2.22 ** 0.10 -1.53 3.99* ** 0.10 -1.53 3.99* ** 0.26* 1.14 9.68* ** 0.26* 1.14 9.68* ** 0.74** 36.45** -16.68 ** 1.36** 5.20** 9.20* ** 0.74** 36.45** -16.68 ** 1.36** 5.20** 9.20*	* * * * * *	-5.50** -5.36** -6.05** 4.30** -3.47** 1.99** 6.53** 0.674	-1.20** -1.26** -0.16 -0.10 0.37** 1.04** 0.25** 0.0844	-29.97**	-5.50** -1.20** -29.97** -0.26** 0.00 -5.36** -1.26** -17.86** -0.30** 0.01* -6.05** -0.16 -7.04** -0.02** 0.00 4.30** -0.10 -2.63** 0.14** 0.00 -3.47** 0.37** -5.06** 0.26** -0.01* 1.99** 1.04** 5.53** 0.14** 0.00 * 6.53** 0.24** 0.00 * 0.25** 38.36** 0.12** 0.01* 0.674 0.0844 0.765 0.0369 0.017*	0.00 -0.01** 0.01** -0.01** 0.00 0.02** 0.00 0.02** 0.00 0.04** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** 0.01** -0.03** 0.01** -0.03** 0.01** -0.03** 0.01** -0.02** 0.0175 0.029*	29 0.026

517

other yield components (Table 2). These parents can be intensively used in the hybridization programme aimed at amelioration of fruit yield.

The estimates of specific combining ability effects revealed that as many as thirteen cross combinations exhibited significant and positive sca effects for fruit yield per plant (Table 3). The maximum significant positive sca effect was exhibited by hybrid Doli-5 x GBL-1 (1.97) followed by AB-07-08 x GP-180 (1.73) and AB-07-08 x KS-331 (1.55) and thus were good hybrid combinations, contributing towards higher fruit yield per plant. The cross combination Doli-5 x GBL-1 (Average x Good) had highest sca effects for fruit yield (1.97), which also recorded significant sca effects in desired direction for days to flowering, number of fruits per plant, total phenols and total soluble sugars. The cross AB-07-08 x GP-180 (Good x Good) exhibited significant sca effects for fruit yield per plant and average fruit weight. The cross AB-07-08 x KS-331 (Good x Good) manifested significant sca effects for number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant in desired direction.

The three best crosses selected each for sca effects, *per se* performance and heterobeltiosis for all the characters are presented in Table 4. The crosses viz., Doli-5 x GBL-1, AB-07-08 x GP-180 and AB-07-08 x KS-331 which recorded high and

Table 3. Estimates of specific combining ability (sca) effects of hybrids for various characters in brinjal

Crosses	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first picking	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant
NDB-18 X PPL-1	7.46**	13.29**	-0.25	1.76**	1.01	-1.39
NDB-18 X Pusa Uttam	3.23**	4.59	1.58**	0.16	10.88**	-12.62**
NDB-18 X Doli-5	1.80	3.12	1.18*	0.56	-9.56**	20.02**
NDB-18 X AB-07-08	-2.10	-2.01	0.79	-1.01	13.97**	8.22
NDB-18 X GBL-1	-0.70	3.26	0.18	-0.92*	-10.44**	9.66*
NDB-18 X GP-180	5.06**	2.72	-3.64**	-1.09**	-49.29**	31.71**
NDB-18 X KS-331	-1.07	1.12	-0.91	0.14	-9.03**	3.43
PPL-1 X Pusa Uttam	-5.47**	-8.08**	-0.24	-0.32	0.60	18.86**
PPL-1 X Doli-5	-1.57	-4.88	0.89	0.85*	4.42	16.27**
PPL-1 X AB-07-08	-3.47**	-3.68	0.00	0.67	-10.09**	15.10**
PPL-1 X GBL-1	0.26	-1.41	0.64	-1.07**	-7.40*	-24.06**
PPL-1 X GP-180	-1.64	-8.94**	1.51**	1.06**	-22.23**	11.09*
PPL-1 X KS-331	2.23*	-0.21	-1.20*	-0.74*	-12.68**	4.50
Pusa Uttam X Doli-5	-1.14	-7.91**	-0.49	0.09	-5.93*	-3.43
Pusa Uttam X AB-07-08	-6.37**	-13.71**	-0.54	-0.50	-6.99*	17.31**
Pusa Uttam X GBL-1	1.36	1.22	-1.38*	-0.39	6.05*	-1.13
Pusa Uttam X GP-180	-0.54	0.02	0.47	1.05**	-11.93**	-3.09
Pusa Uttam X KS-331	2.33*	8.09**	0.26	-0.11	-1.81	11.29*
Doli-5 X AB-07-08	1.53	4.49	-0.01	0.00	-10.34**	-4.85
Doli-5 X GBL-1	-7.40**	-10.91**	0.05	-0.40	4.43	22.12**
Doli-5 X GP-180	0.03	-0.44	0.50	-0.36	28.63**	-12.63**
Doli-5 X KS-331	4.56**	11.29**	-0.41	0.24	6.79*	-0.79
AB-07-08 X GBL-1	7.36**	17.29**	2.26**	0.32	6.08*	15.22**
AB-07-08 X GP-180	-0.54	1.76	-0.55	-0.83*	15.77**	-0.01
AB-07-08 X KS-331	1.66	-0.18	-0.10	0.10	3.62	14.37**
GBL-1 X GP-180	0.20	-3.31	0.34	-0.09	-31.91**	26.11**
GBL-1 X KS-331	-4.60**	-5.91*	-0.51	0.47	3.02	10.88*
GP-180 X KS-331	-1.17	-4.11	3.08**	2.72**	47.36**	-17.79**
S.E. ±	1.10	3.00	0.54	0.35	2.97	4.43
Range	-7.40 to	-13.71 to	-3.64 to	-0.83 to	-49.29 to	-24.06 to
	7.46	17.29	3.08	2.72	47.36	31.71

*,** Significant at 5 % and 1 % levels, respectively.

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(1), MARCH 2016.

significant sca effects for fruit yield, resulted from average x good, good x good and good x good general combiners, respectively.

If a cross combination exhibited high sca effects as well as *per se* performance having at least one parent as good general combiner for a particular trait, it is expected that such cross combinations would throw desirable transgressive segregants in later generations. Significant sca effects of those combinations involving good x good combiners showed the major role of additive type of gene effects, which is fixable. However, two good general combiners may not necessarily throw good segregants. Similarly, in the case of superior crosses involving both the poor x poor general combiners, very little gain is expected from such crosses because high sca effects may dissipate with the progress towards homozygosity.

Perusal of the data in the Table 4 revealed that the crosses having higher estimates of sca had resulted from good x good, good x average, good x poor, average x poor and poor x poor general combiners. Better performance of hybrids involving average x poor general combiners indicated dominance x dominance (epistasis) type of gene action (Jinks, 1956). Such cross could be utilized in the production of high yielding homozygous lines (Darrah and Hallauer, 1972). In the present study,

Table 3. Continues..

Crosses	Plant height (cm)	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Fruit volume (cc)	Dry matter (mg/100 mg)	Total phenols (mg/100 mg)	Total soluble sugars (mg/100 mg)
NDB-18 X PPL-1	7.75**	0.05	12.66**	-0.32**	-0.06**	0.07**
NDB-18 X Pusa Uttam	1.03	-0.10	11.98**	0.48**	-0.03**	0.08**
NDB-18 X Doli-5	-2.61	0.23	-8.79**	-0.08	0.03**	-0.04**
NDB-18 X AB-07-08	-1.74	1.43**	3.33	-0.51**	0.02**	0.12**
NDB-18 X GBL-1	1.10	-0.89**	-16.39**	0.07	0.01	-0.08**
NDB-18 X GP-180	-5.01*	-0.58*	-50.49**	0.71**	-0.05**	0.02
NDB-18 X KS-331	-2.03	-0.60*	-12.01**	0.42**	0.05**	0.02
PPL-1 X Pusa Uttam	2.23	0.93**	-9.80**	0.74**	-0.03**	0.11**
PPL-1 X Doli-5	-4.04	1.34**	16.02**	-0.10	0.04**	0.07**
PPL-1 X AB-07-08	0.24	-0.27	10.15**	-0.52**	-0.03**	0.00
PPL-1 X GBL-1	-6.53**	-2.03**	-14.11**	-0.18	0.00	-0.11**
PPL-1 X GP-180	1.48	0.38	-21.90**	-0.08	0.01	-0.06**
PPL-1 X KS-331	-13.23**	-0.74**	-21.99**	0.11	0.01	0.12**
Pusa Uttam X Doli-5	-3.48	-0.54*	-3.66	0.63**	-0.04**	0.02
Pusa Uttam X AB-07-08	2.76	0.31	-17.13**	-1.03**	0.02**	0.08**
Pusa Uttam X GBL-1	-7.65**	0.21	-12.25**	-0.24*	-0.01	-0.08**
Pusa Uttam X GP-180	-6.05**	-0.13	14.68**	-0.03	-0.01	-0.03**
Pusa Uttam X KS-331	-2.56	0.58*	2.86	0.34**	0.03**	0.08**
Doli-5 X AB-07-08	-6.32**	-1.04**	7.12**	-0.06	0.04**	-0.14**
Doli-5 X GBL-1	4.83	1.97**	8.74**	-0.18	0.03**	0.09**
Doli-5 X GP-180	21.06**	0.53*	0.71	-0.07	0.03**	-0.01
Doli-5 X KS-331	2.48	0.70**	-1.21	-0.97**	-0.04**	-0.09**
AB-07-08 X GBL-1	9.32**	1.48**	29.46**	0.11	-0.02**	0.08**
AB-07-08 X GP-180	11.40**	1.73**	-16.87**	-0.03	-0.02**	0.00
AB-07-08 X KS-331	1.10	1.55**	-6.62**	0.17	-0.02**	-0.04**
GBL-1 X GP-180	0.74	0.87**	-8.56**	-0.13	0.01	-0.07**
GBL-1 X KS-331	1.52	1.25**	6.89**	-0.03	-0.01	-0.01
GP-180 X KS-331	1.94	1.34**	82.33**	-0.13	0.01	0.04**
S.E. ±	2.07	0.26	2.35	0.11	0.005	0.0089

Range -13.23 to 21.06 -2.03 to 1.97-50.49 to 82.33-1.03 to 0.74 -0.06 to 0.05 -0.14 to 0.12

*,** Significant at 5 % and 1 % levels, respectively.

J PURE APPL MIC	Ē	- F	-		- - -					
ROBIC	lable 4. with	The three top 1 respect to per	Table 4. The three top ranking parents with respect to per se performance and gca effects; the three top ranking hybrids with respect to per se performance and sca effects and heterosis over better parent and check variety (GBL-1)	t to per a	se performance and gca eff I heterosis over better pare	tects; the nt and ch	three top ra eck variety	nkıng hybrıd (GBL-1)	S	
Characters Characters (1), WA	Best performing parents	Best general combiners	Best performing hybrids		Hybrids with high sca effects	ects	sca effects	MP	Heterosis over BP SC	SC
ot stand 50 % flowering 50 % flowering	NDB-18 KS_331	GBL-1 KS_331	Doli-5 x GBL-1 GBL-1 v KS-331	A X G	Doli-5 x GBL-1 Duce I Ittom v AB-07-08	A X G	-7.40** -6.37**	-12.59**	-9.36** _0.30**	-9.36** _3 05*
6.	GBL-1	AB-07-08	Pusa Uttam x AB-07-08	AXA	PPL-1 x Pusa Uttam	АХА РхА	-5.47**	-11.30	-9.46**	-0.99
Days to first	NDB-18	GBL-1	Doli-5 x GBL-1	AxG	Pusa Uttam x AB-07-08	AXA	-13.71**	-14.71**	-9.66**	-1.03
picking	KS-331	KS-331	GBL-1 x KS-331 Direc 1140000 x AD 07 08	G x G	Doli-5 x GBL-1	A X G	-10.91** • • • • *	-11.53** 12.07**	-4.44** 10.26**	-4.44* 5 10**
Fruit length	GBL-1 GBL-1	GP-180	Fusa Uttam X AB-U/-U8 GP-180 x KS-331	AXA G x P	GP-180 x GP-180 GP-180 x KS-331	АХР GхР	-8.94** 3.08**	-12.9/** 21.11**	-12.30** 12.11**	0.48** 12.09**
(cm)	GP-180 PPL-1	GBL-1 PPI1	PPL-1 x GP-180 GBL-1 x GP-180	ບ ^x ບ ບ ^x ບ	AB-07-08 x GBL-1 NDB-18 x Pusa Uftam	P x G A x P	2.26** 1.58**	17.36** 7.22**	4.22** 0.74	4.20 -0.57
Fruit girth	GBL-1	KS-331	GP-180 x KS-331	GxG	GP-180 x KS-331	GxG	2.72**	34.58**	28.83**	26.05**
(cm)	KS-331 AB 07 08	GP-180 GB1 1	GBL-1 x KS-331 Dues Ultram v GD 180	G X G	NDB-18 x PPL-1 DDI 1 v CD 180	P x P D v G	1.76** 1.06**	28.82** 23.42**	26.59** 1 83**	-20.84** 6 10
Average fruit	GP-180	KS-331	GP-180 x KS-331	G X G	GP-180 x KS-331	U X U	47.36^{**}	46.79**	2.79**	81.73**
weight (g)	GBL-1	GP-180	Doli-5 x GP-180	AxG	Doli-5 x GP-180	AxG	28.63**	25.36**	-12.79**	54.19^{**}
Munhar of	PPL-1 VS 221	GBL-1 GBT 1	AB-0/-08 X GP-180 GBI 1 v VS 321	S X C	AB-07-08 X GP-180 NDR 18 v GD 180	A X G A × D	15.77** 21 71**	11.91** 168 45**	-21.94** 02 47**	38.01** 44.20**
fruits per plant	GBL-1	KS-331	Doli-5 x GBL-1	D X C	GBL-1 x GP-180	GxP	26.11^{**}	122.26^{**}	47.69**	47.68**
	Pusa Uttam	1	AB-07-08 x GBL-1	G x G	Doli-5 x GBL-1	A x G	22.12**	84.07**	64.76**	64.75**

MAKANI et al.: COMBINING ABILITY IN BRINJAL (Solanum melongena L.)

520

Table 4. Continues	ues									
Characters	Best performing parents	Best general combiners	Best performing hybrids		Hybrids with high sca effects	fects	sca effects	MP	Heterosis over BP SC	ver SC
Plant	AB-07-08	Pusa Uttam	Pusa Uttam x GBL-1	GxP	PPL-1 x KS-331	GxP	-13.23**	-24.11**	-9.64**	-18.27**
height (cm)	NDB-18	NDB-18	PPL-1 x KS-331	G x P	Pusa Uttam-1 x GBL-1	GxP	-7.65**	-14.80**	-9.89**	-19.21**
)	Pusa Uttam	PPL-1	NDB-18 x AB-07-08	GxG	PPL-1 x GBL-1	GxP	-6.53**	-12.55**	-7.93**	-16.72**
Fruit yield	GBL-1	GBL-1	GBL-1 x KS-331	G x G	Doli-5 x GBL-1	AxG	1.97^{**}	84.76**	42.50**	42.59**
per plant (kg)	KS-331	KS-331	AB-07-08 x KS-331	G x G	AB-07-08 x GP-180	G x G	1.73^{**}	136.39^{**}	125.78^{**}	32.02**
	Pusa Uttam	AB-07-08	Doli-5 x GBL-1	AxG	AB-07-08 x KS-331	G x G	1.55^{**}	102.20^{**}	61.73^{**}	43.53**
Fruit volume (cc)	GP-180	GP-180	GP-180 x KS-331	G x G	GP-180 x KS-331	G x G	82.33**	78.40^{**}	40.84^{**}	138.08^{**}
GBL-1	GBL-1	KS-331	Doli-5 x GP-180	ΡxG	AB-07-08 x GBL-1	ΡxG	29.46^{**}	39.74**	17.39^{**}	17.39^{**}
	KS-331	GBL-1	GBL-1 x GP-180	G x G	PPL-1 x Doli-5	$P \ge P$	16.02^{**}	23.10^{**}	16.62^{**}	-20.55**
Dry matter	AB-07-08	AB-07-08	Pusa Uttam x Doli-5	ΡxG	PPL-1 x Pusa Uttam	$P \ge P$	0.74^{**}	11.43^{**}	11.01^{**}	-3.91
d (mg/100 mg)	GBL-1	GBL-1	AB-07-08 x GBL-1	G x G	NDB-18 x GP-180	ΡxG	0.71^{**}	12.56^{**}	5.63^{**}	-2.14
ΠD	DOLI-5	Doli-5	NDB-18 x GP-180	ΡxG	Pusa Uttam x Doli-5	ΡxG	0.63^{**}	7.89**	0.68	-0.15
Total phenols	Doli-5	AB-07-08	NDB-18 x GP-180	АхР	NDB-18 x PPL-1	АхР	-0.06**	-19.38**	-24.09**	-10.49**
d (mg/100 mg)	AB-07-08	KS-331	Doli-5 x KS-331	A x G	NDB-18 x GP-180	AxP	-0.05**	-16.00**	-18.60*	-10.96**
	KS-331	GBL-1	AB-07-08 x KS-331	G x G	Doli-5 x KS-331	AxG	-0.04**	-2.75**	-3.64**	-9.20**
Total soluble	Doli-5	Doli-5	Doli-5 x GBL-1	G x G	NDB-18 x AB-07-08	P x A	0.12^{**}	18.78^{**}	15.08^{**}	-0.08
a sugars	GBL-1	Pusa Uttam	NDB-18 x AB-07-08	РхА	PPL-1 x KS-331	$P \ge P$	0.12^{**}	21.69^{**}	21.05^{**}	-1.71
(mg/100 mg)	GP-180	GBL-1	Pusa Uttam x AB-07-08	GxA	PPL-1 x Pusa Uttam	ΡxG	0.11^{**}	23.48**	21.16^{**}	1.14
* and ** significal	nt at $P = 0.05 \ a$	ind $P = 0.01$ lev	and ** significant at $P = 0.05$ and $P = 0.01$ levels, respectively; $G = Good$, $A = Average$; $P = Poor$	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$	erage; P = Poor					
М										
ARC										

MAKANI et al.: COMBINING ABILITY IN BRINJAL (Solanum melongena L.) 521

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(1), MARCH 2016.

top three crosses which exhibited high sca effects for yield per plant involved at least one good general combiner, indicating additive x dominance type of gene interaction, which could produce desirable transgressive segregants in subsequent generations.

The crosses where poor x poor and poor x good general combiners producing high sca effects may be attributed due to presence of genetic diversity in the form of heterozygous loci for specific traits. Thus, the ideal crosses would be the one, which have good *per se* performance, high heterosis or heterobeltiosis, at least one good general combiner parent and high sca effects. On the basis of combining ability, the parent GBL-1 was good general combiner for quantitative traits and GBL-1, Doli-5 and GP-180 were found to be a good general combiner for qualitative traits. Considering mean performance, heterosis and combining ability, the hybrid GBL-1 x KS-331 was found promising for commercial exploitation

REFERENCES

- Aswani, R. C. and Khandelwal, R. C. Combining ability studies in brinjal. *Indian J. Hort.*, 2005; 62(1): 37-40.
- Baig, K. S. and Patil, V. D. Combining ability over environments for shoot and fruit borer resistance and other traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Indian J. Genet.*, 2002; 62(1): 42-45.
- Bisht, G. S., Singh, M.C., Singh, M., Singh, S.K. and Rai, M. Combining ability analysis in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Veg. Sci.* 2006; 33(1): 68-70.
- 4. Chaudhary, D. R. and Malhotra, S. K. Combining ability of physiological growth parameters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 2000; **34**: 55-58.
- Chaudhary, D. R. and Pathania, N. K. Inheritance of agronomical and physiological growth parameters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Him. J. Agric. Res.*, 2000; 26: 62-66.
- Darrah, L. L. and Hallauer, A. R. Genetic effects estimated from generation means in four diallel sets of maize inbreds. *Crop Sci.*, 1972; 12: 615-621.

- Das, G. and Barua, S. N. 2001. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its components in brinjal. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series.* 22: 399-403.
- Griffing, B. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Austr. J. Biol. Sci*, 1956; 9: 463-493.
- 9. Hanson, J. B., Bageman, R. H. and Fisher, M. E. The association of carbohydrates with the mitochondria of corn seedling. *Agron. J.*, 1960; **52**: 49-52.
- Jinks, J. L. The F₂ and backcross generations from set of diallel crosses. *Heredity*. 1956; 10: 1-30.
- Kaur, J. Genetic architecture of fruit yield and quality characters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). M. Sc. Thesis, Gujarat Agricultural University, S. K. Nagar 1998.
- 12. Patel, N. B., Diallel analysis for yield, its components and quality traits in round fruited brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). M. Sc. Thesis. Gujarat Agricultural University. Anand Campus, Anand 2003.
- Rao, Y.S.A. Diallel analysis over environments and stability parameters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Ph.D. thesis submitted to Gujarat Agricultural University, S. K. Nagar 2003.
- Sawant, S. V., Desai, U. T., Kale, P. N. and Joi, M. B. Combining ability studies in eggplant for wilt resistance and yield characters. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 1991; 16: 343-346.
- 15. Shanmugapriya, P., Ramya, K. and Senthilkumar, N. Studies on combining ability and heterosis for yield and growth parameters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena L.*) *crop science* 2009; **36**(1): 68-72.
- Singh, B. and Singh, A. K. Gene effects for various quantitative traits in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Crop Res., 2004; 27: 109-110.
- Vadodaria, M. A., Mehta, D. R., Bhatiya V. J. and Dobariya, K. L. Combining ability for earliness and plant stature in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Gujarat J. Applied Hort.*, 2005; 5(2): 71-77.
- Vadodaria, M. A., Dobariya, K. L., Chovatiya, V. P. and Mehta, D. R. Combining ability studies for fruit yield and fruit borer resistance in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) *Crop Improvement*, 2008; **35**(2): 195-199