

Growth Promotion of Transplanted Rice Plant by Fungal and Bacterial Bioagents Effective against Brown Leaf Spot Caused by *Drechslera oryzae*

Harish Kumar¹, Shafaat Ahmad¹, Sunil Zacharia¹,
Dinesh Kumar¹ and Aarif Khan^{2*}

¹Department of Plant Pathology, Allahabad School of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology & Sciences Allahabad - 211 007 (UP) India.

²Post Graduate Institute of Veterinary education & Research, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

(Received: 01 May 2016; accepted: 25 June 2016)

The experiment was conducted to evaluate growth promotion of transplanted rice by fungal and bacterial bioagents effective against brown leaf spot of rice. Bioagent formulations (*T. viride*, *T. harzianum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*) were applied as seed treatment and foliar spray and compared with untreated check. All bioagent formulations were significantly effective in increasing number of tillers per hill, root length, fresh and dry root weight, fresh and dry shoot weight and grain yield over check. Present study revealed that potential fungal and bacterial bioagents effective against brown leaf spot disease of rice, exhibited plant growth promoting activities and resulted, 21.69 to 28.77 reducing the disease severity and 34.42 to 40.99 increase in grain yield over untreated check, even if there is no disease.

Keywords: Brown leaf spot, bioagents and rice.

Brown leaf spot of rice is one of the major fungal disease of rice which occurs in almost all the rice growing areas (R.S. Singh, 2005). The disease is of great importance in several countries and has been reported to cause considerable losses. In India, disease occurs sporadically. The disease is currently managed through application of chemical fungicides, which is highly recalcitrant, toxic and non - (Dath, 1990). The antagonistic as fungal and bacterial bioagents are reported to induce the growth of various crop plants (Singh and Sinha, 2008; Singh *et al.*, 2012). These responses may be due to: (i) Suppression of deleterious root micro – flora including those not causing obvious disease, (ii) Production of growth stimulating factors (hormones or growth factors)

and / or (iii) Increased nutrient uptake takes through solubilization and sequestration of nutrients and / or enhanced root growth. Enhanced root development is also helpful in tolerating the biotic and abiotic stresses by the plants. Spore / cell suspension as well as dry powder has been used to coat the seeds with potential antagonists (Chao *et al.*, 1986). For commercial purpose, dry powders of antagonists are used @ 3 to 8 g powder per kg seed based on seed size and formulations of antagonists (Mukhopadhyay, *et al.*, 1992). A large number of seed, seedling, root, stem, foliar and panicle diseases have been suppressed by seed treatment with antagonists. Mukhopadhyay (1996) reported increased growth of several crop plants following seed treatment with *T. harzianum* and *T. virens*. Antagonists also stimulate plant growth, even if there is no disease, which results in better yield (Mishra and Sinha, 2000). Different fungal and bacterial bioagents were found effective in promoting growth and reducing the disease

* To whom all correspondence should be addressed.
Mob.: +91-9792334628;
E-mail: khan.aarif09@gmail.com

severity of rice. In the present study, experiment was conducted to evaluate plant growth promoting activities of potential fungal and bacterial bioagents (effective against brown leaf spot of rice) on transplanted rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field trials were conducted in a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications and plot size of 4m² (spacing 15cm X 20cm) on rice variety Pusa 1121 at Central Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology & Sciences Allahabad during Kharif 2013 and 2014. The doses of NPK fertilizers were applied and other cultural operations done as recommended for rice (Anon., 1996). Test plots were bounded all around to prevent the movement of water from one plot to another.

Seed treatment with bioagents formulation for raising nursery: Nursery was raised in separate plots in the field. Bioagents formulation (10⁶ cfu / g) were applied as seed treatment (ST) at the rate 4 g / kg seed. Treated seeds were soaked in tap water for 12 h. For untreated check, seed were soaked in sterilized water. Plots were arranged in randomized and irrigated for puddling after puddling rice seed was sown in separate plots to raise nursery.

Preparation of bioagents formulation for foliar spray: The talc based fungal and bacterial bioagents was obtained from IPM Referral Lab, Department of Plant Pathology & Entomology SHIATS Allahabad. Spray of bioagents @ 10 g/l (10⁶ cfu) was initiated, just after appearance the disease. The observation of disease severity was recorded near the maturity stage. Disease severity (DS) was estimated by following formula.

$$\text{Disease severity \%} = \frac{\text{Sum of all rating}}{\text{Maximum rating} \times \text{number of sample leaves}} \times 100$$

DS was estimated according to the disease index established by 0 to 9 scales (Anonymous 2011).

Decreasing the disease severity % was estimated by following formula

$$\text{Decreasing the disease severity \%} = \frac{\text{DS in control} - \text{DS in Treatments}}{\text{DS in control}} \times 100$$

Growth parameters, three plants were selected randomized of each treatments plant were uprooted for recording data on number of tillers per hills, root and shoot length, fresh root and

shoot weight, dry root and shoot weight. The grain yield was recorded after harvesting of the crop transformed into quintal per hectare. Increasing grain yield (%) was estimated by following formula.

$$\text{Increasing yield \%} = \frac{\text{Yield in treatments} - \text{Yield in control}}{\text{Yield in control}} \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of bioagent formulations (applied as ST + FS) on shoot and root length and number of tillers per hill of rice plant 40 DAT

All bioagent formulations were significantly effective (72.86 to 83.80 cm) in increasing average shoot length over check (57.66 cm) (Table 1). Maximum shoot length (83.80 cm) was obtained by T₇ which is followed by T₆ (81.49 cm) and T₄ (79.35 cm). All the bioagent formulations were significantly effective (14.60 to 15.97 cm) in increasing root length over check (12.73 cm). Maximum root length (15.97 cm) was obtained with T₃ which is followed by T₄ (15.57 cm) and T₇ (14.76 cm). All bioagent formulations and chemical treatment was significantly effective (28.33 to 32.51) in increasing average numbers of tillers per hill over check (22.56). Maximum number of tillers per hill (32.51) in number of tillers per hill was obtained by T₇ which is followed by T₆ (31.42) and T₄ (28.62). Dubey (1995) reported a significant increase in root and shoot length, fresh and dry weight and yield of cultivated crops due to seed bacterization with *Pseudomonas*. Gopalakrishnan *et al* (2012) also reported the *Pseudomonas* is growth promoting bacteria which is responsible for cell elongation and root developments.

Effect of bioagent formulations (applied as ST + FS) on fresh and dry root weight, fresh and dry shoot weight of rice

Data presented in table 2, revealed that all bioagents were significantly effective in increasing fresh and dry root weight over check. Maximum fresh root weight (40.35 g) was exhibited by T₃ which is followed by T₄ (39.73 g) and T₇ (38.96 g). Maximum dry root weight (19.71 g) was shown by T₃. T₄ and T₇ were next in order to increasing dry root weight by 19.70 and 17.80 g, respectively. Significantly increased fresh and dry shoot weight over check. Maximum fresh shoot weight (199.17 g) was obtained by T₇ which is followed by T₆ (198.34 g) and T₄ (194.51 g). Similarly, maximum dry

shoot weight (49.70) was obtained by T₇, T₆ and T₄ were next in order to increasing dry shoot weight by 49.43 g and 48.32 g, respectively. The capacity of *Trichoderma spp.* to produce growth hormones such as auxins and gibberelins were reported as the main factor that contributes to the ability of

Trichoderma spp. to support root growth and increase water absorption from soil (Contreras - Cornejo *et al.*, 2009; Martínez - Medina *et al.*, 2011). Increased fresh and dry weight of shoot, roots and nodules of broad bean was also reported by Yehia *et al.*, 1985 when seeds were coated with

Table 1. Effect of bioagents formulation (applied as seed treatments and foliar spray) on shoot length, root length and number of tillers of rice.

Treatments	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Number of tillers
T ₁ Control	57.66	12.73	22.56
T ₂ <i>T. viride</i> (ST) + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	78.21	14.73	28.57
T ₃ <i>T. viride</i> (ST) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	77.56	15.97	28.40
T ₄ <i>T. harzianum</i> (ST) + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	79.35	15.57	28.62
T ₅ <i>T. harzianum</i> (ST) + <i>B. subtilis</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	73.96	14.46	28.37
T ₆ <i>P. fluorescens</i> (ST) + <i>T. viride</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg/seed + 10 g/liter	81.49	14.66	31.42
T ₇ <i>P. fluorescens</i> (ST) + <i>T. harzianum</i> (FS), @ 4 g/kg/seed + 10 g/liter	83.80	14.76	32.51
T ₈ <i>B. subtilis</i> (ST) + <i>T. viride</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	72.86	14.60	28.33
T ₉ <i>B. subtilis</i> (ST) + <i>T. harzianum</i> @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	78.52	14.70	28.41
C.D (0.05)	3.58	0.54	0.40

*Average of two year with 3replication.

T. = *Trichoderma*, P. = *Pseudomonas*, B. = *Bacillus*, ST = Seed Treatment and FS = Foliar Spray.

Table 2. Effect of bioagents formulation (applied as seed treatments and foliar spray) on fresh shoot, root, dry shoot and root weight of rice.

Treatments	Fresh root weight (g)	Dry root weight (g)	Fresh shoot weight (g)	Dry shoot weight (g)
T ₁ Control	31.34	15.29	185.16	43.30
T ₂ <i>T. viride</i> (ST) + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	38.66	17.34	194.03	48.32
T ₃ <i>T. viride</i> (ST) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	40.35	19.71	193.10	48.01
T ₄ <i>T. harzianum</i> (ST) + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	39.73	19.70	194.51	48.32
T ₅ <i>T. harzianum</i> (ST) + <i>B. subtilis</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	38.59	17.24	193.00	48.31
T ₆ <i>P. fluorescens</i> (ST) + <i>T. viride</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg/seed + 10 g/liter	38.87	17.69	198.34	49.43
T ₇ <i>P. fluorescens</i> (ST) + <i>T. harzianum</i> (FS), @ 4 g/kg/seed + 10 g/liter	38.96	17.80	199.17	49.70
T ₈ <i>B. subtilis</i> (ST) + <i>T. viride</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	35.23	17.17	192.75	47.81.
T ₉ <i>B. subtilis</i> (ST) + <i>T. harzianum</i> @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	38.66	17.46	193.11	48.61
C.D (0.05)	3.35	0.16	1.04	0.14

*Average of two year with 3replication.

T. = *Trichoderma*, P. = *Pseudomonas*, B. = *Bacillus*, ST = Seed Treatment and FS = Foliar Spray.

Table 3. Effect of bioagents formulation (applied as seed treatments and foliar spray) on disease severity and yield of rice.

Treatments		Disease intensity (%)	Reducing disease severity (%)	Yield q/ha	Increasing yield (%)
T ₁	Control	48.68	-	30.47	-
T ₂	<i>T. viride</i> (ST) + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	38.12	21.69	40.96	34.42
T ₃	<i>T. viride</i> (ST) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	38.07	21.79	41.38	35.80
T ₄	<i>T. harzianum</i> (ST) + <i>P. fluorescens</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	36.67	24.67	42.41	39.18
T ₅	<i>T. harzianum</i> (ST) + <i>B. subtilis</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	37.10	23.78	41.60	36.52
T ₆	<i>P. fluorescens</i> (ST) + <i>T. viride</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg/seed + 10 g/liter	34.67	28.77	42.96	40.99
T ₇	<i>P. fluorescens</i> (ST) + <i>T. harzianum</i> (FS), @ 4 g/kg/seed + 10 g/liter	37.41	23.15	41.57	36.42
T ₈	<i>B. subtilis</i> (ST) + <i>T. viride</i> (FS) @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	36.81	24.38	41.69	36.82
T ₉	<i>B. subtilis</i> (ST) + <i>T. harzianum</i> @ 4 g/kg seed + 10 g/liter	37.02	23.95	41.68	36.79
C.D (0.05)		1.35		0.88	

*Average of two year with 3replication.

T = *Trichoderma*, P = *Pseudomonas*, B = *Bacillus*, ST = Seed Treatment and FS = Foliar Spray.

T. viride. This may be because of production of growth stimulating factors by the bioagents (Doni et al. 214).

Effect of bioagent formulations (applied as ST + FS) on disease severity and yield of rice

Data indicate the table no 3, all bioagent formulations were significantly effective in reducing disease severity (38.12 to 34.67 %) over check (48.68 %). Maximum reducing disease severity (28.77 %) was exhibited by T₆ which is followed by T₄ (24.67 %) T₈ (24.38 %) and minimum reducing disease severity (38.12 %) was obtained by T₂. All bioagent formulations were significantly effective in increasing grain yield (42.96 to 40.96 q / ha) over check (30.47 q / ha). Maximum increase (40.99 %) in grain yield was exhibited by T₆ which was followed by T₄ (39.18 %) and T₈ (36.82 %). Biocontrol agent combination study Rao et al. (2013) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *T. harzianum* were found effective against brown leaf spot disease of paddy. Potential of *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* was also tested against brown spot of paddy where substantial decrease in disease severity was

observed (Biswas et al., 2010). Kloepper et al. (1980) reported that *P. flourecens* and other plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhance plant growth by showing antagonisms to potentially deleterious rhizoplane fungal and bacterial pathogens. The direct application of microorganism to seed or other plant part gives them a competitive advantage over pathogen that must compete for nutrients and sites for attachment prior to infection.

CONCLUSION

It was revealed from present study that bioagent formulations which were had potential for the management of brown spot disease were also exhibited plant growth promoting activity on rice plant when applied as combination of seed treatment and foliar application and resulted in significantly higher grain yield (40.96 to 42.96 g as compared to untreated check 30.47 g), even if there is no disease. Results of present investigation should be verified under field conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. 0 to 9 scale for measurement of Brown spot of rice. Manua l of Rice pest surveillance. *NCIPM, New Delhi*, 2011; p 40.
2. Biswas, C., Srivastava, S.S.L. and Biswas, S.K. Biochemical changes associated with induction of resistance by *Trichoderma spp.* in paddy against brown spot disease. *Indian Phytopath.*, 2010; **63**(3): 269 - 272.
3. Chao, W.L., Nelson, F.B., Harman, G.E. and Hoch, H.C. Colonization of the rhizosphere by biological control agents applied to seeds. *Phytopathology*, 2010; **76**: 60 - 65.
4. Contreras - Cornejo H.A, Macías – Rodríguez L, Cortés - Penagos C, López – Bucio J. *Trichoderma virens*, a plant beneficial fungus, enhances biomass production and promotes lateral root growth through an auxin - dependent mechanism in arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 2009; **4**: 1579 – 1592.
5. Dath, P.A. Sheath blight disease of rice its management. Associated Publishing Co., New Delhi, 1990; pp. 129.
6. Doni, F., Isahak, A., Zain, C.R.C.M., and Yusoff, W.M.W. Physiological and growth response of rice plants (*Oryza sativa* L.) to *Trichoderma spp.* Inoculates, AMB. A *Springer Journal*, 2014; **4**:45.
7. Dubey, S.C. Evaluation of fungal antagonistic against *Thanatephorus cucumeris* causing banded blight of rice. Paper presented at the first International symposium on *Rhizoctonia*, ISR ' 95 at Leeuwenhorst Congress Centrum Noord wijkerhout, Netherland, 1995; pp. 27 - 30.
8. Gopalakrishnan, S., Upadhyaya, H.D., Vadlamudi, S., Humayun, P., Sree Vidya M, Alekhya, G., Singh, A., Vijayabharathi, R., Ratna Kumari Bhimineni., Murali Seema, Rathore, A., and Om Rupela. Plant growth – promoting traits of biocontrol potential bacteria isolated from rice rhizosphere. *PMC*, 2012; **1**(1): 71.
9. Kloepper, J.W., Schroth, M.N. and Miller, T.D. Effects of rhizosphere colonization by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on potato plant development and yield. *Phytopathol.*, 1980; **70**: 1078 - 1082.
10. Martínez – Medina A, Roldán A, Albacete A, Pascual JA. The Interaction with Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi or *Trichoderma harzianum* alters the shoot hormonal profile in melon plants. *Phytochemistry*, 2011; **4**: 223 – 229.
11. Mishra, D.S. and Sinha, A.P. Plant growth - promoting activity of some fungal and bacterial agents on rice seed germination and seedling growth. *Trop. Agric.*, 2000; **77**: 188 - 191.
12. Mukhopadhyay, A.N. Recent innovations in plant disease control by ecofriendly biopesticides. In Presidential address, Agriculture Science section, 83th Indian Science Congress, Patiala India, 1980.
13. Mukhopadhyay, A.N., Shrestha, S.M. and Mukherjee, P.K. Biological seed treatments for control of soil borne plant pathogens. *FAO Plant Prot. Bull.*, 1992; **40**: 1 - 10.
14. Rao, Y., Lal, A.A., Simon, S. and Singh, L. Management of brown spot (*Drechslera oryzae*) of Rice. *An. Plant Prot. Sci.*, 2013; **21**(2): 450 - 452.
15. Singh, D., Yadav, D.K., Sinha, S. and Upadhyay, B.K. Utilization of plant growth promoting *Bacillus subtilis* isolates for the management of bacterial wilt incidence in tomato caused by *Ralestonia solanacearum* race 1 biovar 3. *Indian Phytopath.*, 2012; **65**(1): 18 - 24.
16. Sinha, A.P. Growth promoting activities of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on rice seedlings. *Indian Phytopath.*, 2008; **61**(2): 264 - 267.
17. Yehia, A.H., EI - Hassan, S.A. and EI - Bahadli, A.H. Biological seed treatment to control *Fusarium* root rot of broad bean. *Egyptian. J. Phytopathology.*, 1985; **14**: 59 - 66.