Effect of Various Nitrogen Carriers and Irrigation Regimes on Translocation of Nitrogen forms in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) with Two Different Growth Periods: A Column Study

B.J. Mori, N.J. Jadav, S.V. Rathod* and R.A. Patel

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, B.A. College of Agriculture, AAU, Anand - 388110, India.

(Received: 17 May 2016; accepted: 20 June 2016)

A column study was conducted during summer-2012 at Anand. The treatments comprised each of three levels of nitrogen carriers (prilled urea, urea super granule and neem coated urea) and irrigation regimes (8.0, 6.0 and 4.0 cm depth) as well as two growth periods (40 and 80 days after sowing) in soil column under completely randomized design keeping two repetitions. The soil (loamy sand) belongs to the soil order Inceptisols (Typic Ustochrept) with 7.8 pH, 0.35 % OC, low in available N and S, medium in available P2O5 and K2O. Results from this study suggested that NH4+-N due to different nitrogen carriers were decreased while NO₃-N was increased with increase in depth of soil. The NH.⁺ and NO,⁻ nitrogen were found higher in soil column under application of USG followed by NCU and prilled urea. The amount of NH, +-N was progressively increased but NO, -N was decreased with increase in depth of irrigation. The NH,⁺ and NO, nitrogen throughout the column was significantly higher when analyzed during later growth stage as compared to that of early growth period of 40 DAS. Looking to the significant interactions between nitrogen carriers and irrigation regimes in case of N forms in root indicated that use of NCU and/or USG under different irrigation regimes were benefited. Thus present study conclude that use of either slow released nitrogenous fertilizer like USG or nitrification inhibitor materials like NCU enhanced the biological yield and nutrients uptake by maize. They also provide NO₃-N during crop growth period. The effect of limited use of irrigation water was more pronounced on reduction of transport of NO, -N in lower depth of soil.

Keywords: USG, NCU, irrigation regime, Nitrate & Ammonical content.

The increasing global population is now confronted by a major shortage of plant products. In achieving improved and sustainable agriculture in the world, increased productivity from existing farm land is absolutely essential, since additional land is limited, unavailable, and very fragile or must remain in its natural state for strategic purposes and environmental preservation. Soils rarely contain enough nitrogen for optimum plant growth and development for instance most of the Indian soils are low in N. N, which is generally taken up by the plant in NO_3^{-1} and NH_4^{+1} forms, plays an important role in plant metabolism. Galloway *et al.* (1995) estimate that global N fertilizer production will increase 60–90% by the year 2025, and twothirds of the total will be applied in the developing world. If efficiency of fertilizer use is not increased, these N fertilizer applications will result in increased N losses as leachate to freshwater and marine systems and as trace gases important in tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry and global climate. Prilled urea is a fast releasing nitrogen fertilizer which causes considerable loss as ammonia volatilization, immobilization, denitrification and surface run off etc. Use of neem

^{*} To whom all correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: sandipvinubhai648@gmail.com

oil in various form such as neem oil cake, neem oil and other neem product have been found useful in reducing the release of N from urea and increase its use efficiency (Reddy and Prasad, 1975). On the other hand, use of slow releasing nitrogenous fertilizer such as, urea super granule (USG) and neem coated urea have potential to reduce the losses as well as to increases N use efficiency in crops.

Water has unique properties which promote a wide variety of physical, chemical and biological processes. However, the great challenge for the coming decades will be the task of increasing food production with less water, particularly in countries with limited water and land resources as well as inefficient usage of water. An important problem, deserving more attention, is nitrate movement and the loss of nitrate from a soil profile due to leaching. Thus nitrogen fertilizers must remain in the root zone to be taken up by the plants for extends period. Maize, one of the major cereal crop grown in India, ranks third position among cereal crops after wheat and rice. The present investigation was, therefore, undertaken to observe the performance of different slow or controlled released nitrogen fertilizers with varying irrigation depth on maize crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Texture of the bulk surface soil (0-30 cm depth) soil under investigation is loamy sand, very deep belongs to the soil order Inceptisols (Typic Ustochrept) under middle Gujarat conditions. The study was carried out in high-density PVC column having 15 cm internal diameter. The total length of column was 100 cm consisting of 15 cm long separable 6 segments (cylinders). These segments were connected to each other by means of adhesive plastic cello tape (Mitsubhishi Make) and at the top of the column, seperate 10.0 cm long section was joined for water application. The columns were sealed at the lower end with an appropriate size of plastic cap, having a few holes for drainage and then columns were placed on plastic container (also acted as stand). The soil was air-dried and ground to pass through 2 mm sieve and was analyzed for physico-chemical properties using standard procedures. Then, the uniform bulk of the soil was stored in polyethylene bags, and later used for

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(3), SEPTEMBER 2016.

filling of soil columns. The treatments comprised each of three levels of nitrogen carriers (prilled urea, urea super granule (USG) and neem coated urea (NCU)) and irrigation regimes (8.0, 6.0 and 4.0 cm depth) as well as two growth periods (40 and 80 days after sowing) in soil column (Table 3). Before packing the soil, the bottom of each column was covered with filter paper (Whatman No.1). Loose (no compaction) soil was filled in columns in such a way to attain field bulk density i.e. after land preparation on the basis of mass and volume, around 1.42 ± 0.01 g/cm³. In this manner, the columns were packed to a height of 100 cm with total weight of 25 kg soil. Before the sowing of the seeds, mixing of fertilizers in upper segment in columns, single seed of maize (cultivar HQPM 1) was sown in the center of the soil column at the depth of 2-3 cm. The columns were irrigated near to 1/2 pore volume for distribution of nitrogen throughout the column. The subsequent irrigations were given in each column as per treatments at 15 days intervals, according to different depth of irrigation water were calculated (Table 2). As per treatments the amount of nitrogen through different carriers (Urea, Urea super granule and Neem coated urea) @ half of 150 kg/ha (2.72 g) was mixed in upper 5 cm layers in each column. A common dose of P₂O₅ @ 50 kg/ha through Single Super Phosphate (Table 1). The remaining half dose of nitrogenous fertilizers was applied at 15 days after sowing in the set of both growth stages. After the harvest of crop from each column, the plant and soil samples were collected carefully with separation of each segments of whole soil column. Then, collected soil and plant samples were transferred for further processes likewise cleaning of soil samples, filled in polythene bags and bags were tagged with the treatments information, and also after the cutting of plant samples from each column, it was tagged as per treatment details and stored. The processed soil samples were analyzed for important soil properties. The depthwise distribution of ammonical and nitrate contents in soil samples were estimated by Modified Kjeldahl's method and Phenoldisulphonic acid yellow colour method (spectrophotometric), respectively (Jackson, 1973). The statistical analysis of the various parameters studied in the investigation was carried out by using standard statistical methods described by Cochran and Cox (1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of nitrogen carriers

Among nitrogen carriers, incorporation of USG significantly increased ammonical nitrogen as compared to NCU and urea in each segment of column. Application of NCU also recorded significantly higher ammonical nitrogen as compared to urea throughout the column. Numerically the highest $NH_4^{+}-N$ (51.9 ppm) was noticed under USG in surface soil sample (Table 4). The ammonical nitrogen due to different nitrogen carries was progressively decreased with increase in depth of soil. The USG and NCU slowly released and transformed ammonium nitrogen. Cho (1971) reported that concentration of $NH_4^{+}-N$ decreased almost linearly with increasing depth. The decrease in $NH_4^{+}-N$ content at subsequently

Carriers Application Applied quantity of rate (kg/ha) carriers (g/column) 150 5.45 Urea 150 5.45 Urea super granule 150 5.45 Neem coated urea Single super phosphate 50 5.24

Table 1. Quantity of nitrogen and Phosphorus carriers applied per column as per treatments

Table 2. Amount of water applied for different depths of irrigation

Depth of irrigation (cm)	Quantity of water per column (ml)					
8.0	1413					
6.0	1060					
4.0	707					

Table 3. Treatment details

Treatment combinations	Treatment details						
$T_1 (N_1 I_1 D_1)$	Urea + 8.0 cm + 40 DAS						
$T_2 (N_1 I_1 D_2)$	Urea + 8.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_3 (N_1 I_2 D_1)$	Urea + 6.0 cm + 40 DAS						
$T_4 (N_1 I_2 D_2)$	Urea + 6.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_5 (N_1 I_3 D_1)$	Urea + 4.0 cm + 40 DAS						
$T_6 (N_1 I_3 D_2)$	Urea + 4.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_7 (N_2 I_1 D_1)$	USG + 8.0 cm + 40 DAS						
$T_{8}^{'}$ (N ₂ I ₁ D ₂)	USG + 8.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_{0}^{\circ}(N_{2}I_{1}D_{1})$	USG + 6.0 cm + 40 DAS						
$T_{10} (\tilde{N}_2 \tilde{I}_2 \tilde{D}_2)$	USG + 6.0 cm + 80 DAS						
T_{11}^{10} (N ₂ I ₃ D ₁)	USG + 4.0 cm + 40 DAS						
T_{12}^{11} (N ₂ I ₃ D ₂)	USG + 4.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_{13}^{12} (N_3 I_1 D_1)$	NCU + 8.0 cm + 40 DAS						
T_{14}^{15} (N ₃ I ₁ D ₂)	NCU + 8.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_{15}^{14} (N_3 I_2 D_1)$	NCU + 6.0 cm + 40 DAS						
T_{16}^{13} (N ₃ I ₂ D ₂)	NCU + 6.0 cm + 80 DAS						
$T_{17}^{10} (N_3 I_3 D_1)$	NCU + 4.0 cm + 40 DAS						
$T_{18}^{17} (N_3 I_3 D_2)$	NCU + 4.0 cm + 80 DAS						

lower depths might be due to low rate of hydrolysis (Singh and Singh, 1999). The depth wise distribution of nitrate nitrogen was increased with increased in depth of soil column. Numerically the highest (13.9 ppm) nitrate N was recorded due to application of USG at 85-100 cm depth of soil in column (Table 5). It remained significantly higher in each segment of column under use of USG and/ or NCU compared to urea. It might be due to slowly released nitrate N from USG and slow transformation from NCU due to its inhibitory effect on transforming bacteria resulting in high nitrate content compared to prilled urea. Nitrate nitrogen not adsorbed on soil colloid surface and moved to deeper depth. The NO₃⁻-N in deep soil layers was greater in fertilized than unfertilized plots was noted by Getmanets and Avramenko (1976).

Effect of irrigation regimes

The data pertaining to depth wise distribution of ammonical nitrogen as affected by irrigation regimes (Table 4) revealed that amount of ammonical nitrogen in each segment progressively decreased with decrease in amount of water applied. In each segment of soil column, application of 8.0 cm depth of water regime was significantly superior to that of 6.0 and 4.0 cm depth of water regimes with respect to ammonical nitrogen. Similarly, application of 6.0 cm depth of water regime was also significantly better than that

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(3), SEPTEMBER 2016.

of 4.0 cm with respect to ammonical nitrogen content in each segment of soil column. The maximum (59.8 ppm) ammonical N was registered under 8.0 cm depth of irrigation water in surface soil sample. Ammonium was fixed on soil particles restrict the leaching losses with irrigation flux. The decreased in NH4+-N with increase in soil depth was also reported by Agrawal and Kumar (1978). The reduction in available nitrogen under restricted irrigation was noticed by Reddy and Dakshinamurti (1976). Nitrate-N in each segment of column was increased with decreased in water amount (Table 5). The maximum (14.0 ppm) nitrate N was registered with the application of 4.0 cm depth of irrigation water at 85-100 cm depth of soil in column. The nitrate-N followed the trend of 4.0 > 6.0 > 8.0 cm depth of irrigation. It also increased with increase in soil depth. The nitrate nitrogen has also prone to less adhesion on colloidal surface due to negative charge. The increased in downward movement of nitrate with increase in amount of water applied was also reported by Tahir and Mian (1971).

Effect of growth periods

The ammonical nitrogen throughout the column was significantly decreased when determined during early growth stage (40 DAS) as compared to that of later growth period of 80 DAS (Table 4). The decreasing trend in the amount of ammonical nitrogen with the advancement of crop growth period might be due increased utilization by plants and microbes (Saha et al., 1982). The released of nitrate nitrogen in different segments of the column was significantly increased with increase in growth period i.e. it was significantly lower when determined during early growth stage (40 DAS) as compared to that of later growth period of 80 DAS (Table 5). The ammonium and nitrate nitrogen throughout the column was significantly higher when analyzed during advanced growth stage (80 DAS) as compared to that of early growth period of 40 DAS.

 Table 4. Ammonical nitrogen content (depth wise)

 in soil as influenced by different treatments

Treatment	Ammonical N (ppm) Soil Depth (cm)							
	0-20	20-35	35-50	50-65	65-80	80-100		
Nitrogen Carrier (N)								
N ₁ (Urea)	41.0	38.1	35.6	33.7	32.0	29.3		
N_{2} (USG)	51.9	50.0	47.7	45.1	43.0	41.0		
N_{3}^{2} (NCU)	50.7	47.6	44.9	42.5	40.7	38.8		
S. Em. ±	0.23	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.21	0.20		
CD (P=0.05)	0.68	0.54	0.55	0.46	0.62	0.60		
Irrigation regime (I)								
$I_{1} (8.0 \text{ cm})$	59.8	56.2	53.0	50.6	48.1	45.1		
$I_{2}(6.0 \text{ cm})$	45.6	42.9	40.1	37.7	36.1	34.1		
$I_{3}(4.0 \text{ cm})$	38.2	36.7	35.1	32.9	31.5	29.9		
Š. Em. ±	0.23	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.21	0.20		
CD (P=0.05)	0.68	0.54	0.55	0.46	0.62	0.60		
Growth duration (D)								
D ₁ (40 DAS)	35.1	32.3	29.9	28.0	26.3	24.2		
D_{2}^{1} (80 DAS)	60.6	58.2	55.5	55.8	50.8	48.5		
S. Em. ±	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.16		
CD (P=0.05)	0.56	0.44	0.45	0.38	0.51	0.49		
Interaction								
N x I	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
N x D	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
I x D	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
N x I x D	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
C.V. %	1.66	1.38	1.50	1.33	1.87	1.91		

Effect of interaction

At a given source of nitrogen fertilizer, the ammonical nitrogen content increased with

decrease in amount of water applied at both the growth stages. Significantly the highest (76.7 ppm) ammonical nitrogen was registered when neem

Treatment	Ammonical N (ppm) Soil Depth (cm)							
	0-20	20-35	35-50	50-65	65-80	80-100		
Nitrogen Carrier (N)								
N ₁ (Urea)	7.7	8.1	8.5	9.1	9.1	9.1		
N_{2} (USG)	9.5	10.6	12.1	12.5	12.7	13.9		
N ₃ (NCU)	9.2	10.1	10.9	11.8	12.0	11.5		
S. Em. ±	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02		
CD (P=0.05)	0.1	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.05		
Irrigation regime (I)								
I_{1} (8.0 cm)	7.8	8.2	9.2	9.7	9.8	9.8		
$I_{2}(6.0 \text{ cm})$	8.9	9.6	10.4	10.8	10.7	10.7		
$I_{3}(4.0 \text{ cm})$	9.8	10.9	11.9	12.9	13.4	14.0		
Š. Em. ±	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02		
CD (P=0.05)	0.1	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.05		
Growth duration (D)								
D ₁ (40 DAS)	7.0	8.2	9.4	10.0	10.1	10.3		
D_{2}^{1} (80 DAS)	10.6	11.0	11.6	12.3	12.5	12.7		
S. Em. ±	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01		
CD (P=0.05)	0.1	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04		
Interaction								
N x I	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
N x D	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
ΙxD	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
N x I x D	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.		
C.V. %	1.94	0.41	0.99	0.58	0.97	0.51		

 Table 5. Nitrate nitrogen content (depth wise)
 in soil as influenced by different treatments

Table 6. Interaction effect of nitrogen carriers, irrigation regimes andgrowth duration on ammonical nitrogen content in soil (depth wise)

Depth(cm)			Ammonical N (ppm)										
			N ₁			N ₂			N ₃			. CD	C.V.
		I_1	I_2	I ₃	I_1	I_2	I ₃	I_1	I_2	I ₃	±	(P=0.05) %
0-20	D,	30.7	28.3	22.7	58.1	33.1	28.3	57.7	31.7	25.6	0.561	1.67	1.66
	D,	61.2	55.6	47.7	74.6	63.6	53.6	76.6	61.2	51.2			
20-35	$\tilde{D_1}$	26.6	24.7	21.6	54.7	31.3	26.7	53.2	28.4	23.6	1.313	1.31	1.38
	D,	57.7	52.3	45.7	72.7	61.9	52.6	72.4	58.6	49.7			
35-50	$\tilde{D_1}$	22.6	22.1	20.7	52.6	28.4	24.7	50.1	26.3	22.0	0.453	1.35	1.50
	D,	54.7	49.7	43.7	69.7	59.6	51.2	68.1	54.8	48.4			
50-65	$\tilde{D_1}$	21.9	20.5	18.2	50.2	25.6	22.7	47.7	23.9	21.6	0.380	1.13	1.33
	D,	52.6	47.6	41.6	66.2	58.3	47.4	65.2	50.7	46.0			
65-80	$\tilde{D_1}$	19.7	19.0	17.6	48.3	23.0	21.3	46.0	22.2	19.6	0.510	1.52	1.87
	D,	48.5	46.6	40.5	63.1	56.6	45.5	62.6	49.3	44.3			
80-100	D ₁	16.6	17.2	15.9	44.6	20.8	20.0	43.6	20.7	18.7	0.491	1.46	1.91
	D_2	44.4	43.6	38.2	61.7	54.7	44.3	59.7	47.7	42.3			

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(3), SEPTEMBER 2016.

coated urea was used in combination with 8.0 cm depth of irrigation water was applied up to second growth period $(N_3 \times I_3 \times D_2)$ lower as compared to that of 6.0 cm depth of irrigation water (Table 6). Beri et al. (1978) reported that most of the urea leached down with wetting front in initially dry soil. A part of the nitrogen remained close to the surface was hydrolyzed urea (NH_4^+-N) . Significantly the highest (19.2 ppm) nitrate-N was registered when USG was used in combination with 4.0 cm depth of irrigation during advanced growth period $(N_2 \times I_2 \times D_2)$ at 80-100 cm depth in soil column (Table 7). Similarly Singh and Yadav (1991) reported that NH₄⁺-N did not move to lower layers in early stage of crop growth, but latter some NH₄⁺-N moved to 15-30 cm layer and NO₃⁻-N leached to lower layers as irrigation rate increased (5 cm to 7.5 cm).

CONCLUSION

Thus from the results, it is quite evident to conclude that use of either slow released nitrogenous fertilizer like urea super granule or nitrification inhibitor materials like neem coated urea enhanced the biological yield and nutrients uptake by maize. They also provide sustained supply of nitrate nitrogen during crop growth period. Transport of nitrate nitrogen in lower depths of soil can be reduced by limited use of irrigation water.

REFERENCES

- 1. Galloway, J.N., Levy, H., Michaels, A. and Schnoor, J.L., Nitrogen fixation- anthropogenic enhancement- environmental response. Global Biogeochem. *Cycles*, 1995; **9**: 235-252.
- Jackson, M. L., Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1973.

- Cochran, W. G. and Cox, G. M., Experimental designs, John Willey and Sons. Inc. New York: 1967; 546-568.
- 4. Singh, B. and Singh, L., Kinetics of urea hydrolysis under different moisture regimes in aquic ustochrepts of Punjab. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 1999; **47**: 357-360.
- Getmanets, A. Y. and Avramenko, P. S., Vertical migration of NO₃-N in ordinary chernozems of the Ukrainian steeper zone. *Soviet Soil Sci.*, 1976; 8: 523-527.
- Agrawal, R. P. and Kumar, R., Nitrogen movement as influenced by initial soil wetness, soil texture and soil structure of surface layer. Proceeding National Symposium on N Assimilation and Crop Production. 1978; 260-268.
- Reddy, D. S. and Dakshinamurti, C., Effect of applying different quantities of irrigation water on the movement of available nitrogen in a sandy loam soil of Delhi. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 1976; 24: 163-167.
- Tahir, M. I. and Mian, M. A., Movement of nitrogen fertilizers in field soils. *Bangladesh J. Soil Sci.*, 1971; 7: 1-10.
- Beri, V., Brass, S. S., Sekhon, G. S. and Ghuman, B. S., Extent of urea leaching in soils and its biochemical control. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 1978; 26: 116 - 124.
- Singh, M. and Yadav, D. S., Transformation and leaching of urea-N with variable irrigation rates and methods of urea application in wheat crop. *Crop Res.*, 1991; 4: 53-62.
- 11. Reddy, R.N. S. and Prasad, R.,. Studies on the mineralization of urea, coated urea and nitrification inhibitor treated urea in soil. *J. Soil Sci.*, 1975; **26**: 305-312.
- Cho, C. M., Connective transport of ammonium with nitrification in soil. *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 1971; 51: 339-350.
- Saha, D., Mukherjee, R. and Mukhopadhyay, A.K., Availability of fixed ammonium nitrogen to crops. *Pl. Soil*, 1982; 65: 345-349.